



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

*Handwritten mark*

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/744,772	01/25/2001	Roger Edwards	C005	5011
31665 7590 01/29/2007 PATENT DEPARTMENT MACROVISION CORPORATION 2830 DE LA CRUZ BLVD. SANTA CLARA, CA 95050			EXAMINER MOORTHY, ARAVIND K	
			ART UNIT 2131	PAPER NUMBER
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS			01/29/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 09/744,772	Applicant(s) EDWARDS, ROGER	
	Examiner Aravind K. Moorthy	Art Unit 2131	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 December 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 7, 8, 10-14, 18, 19, 21, 25, 26 and 30-38 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4-6, 9, 15-17, 20, 22-24 and 27-29 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This is in response to the amendment filed on 14 December 2006.
2. Claims 1-38 are pending in the application.
3. Claims 1-3, 7, 8, 10-14, 18, 19, 21, 25, 26 and 30-38 have been rejected.
4. Claims 4-6, 9, 15-17, 20, 22-24 and 27-29 have been objected to as being dependent upon a rejected claim.

#### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

5. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 14 December 2006 has been entered.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 7, 8, 10-14, 18, 19, 21, 25, 26 and 30-38 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

**7. Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 11-13, 18, 19, 26 and 31-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kamperman et al U.S. Patent No. 6,580,682 B1.**

As to claims 1 and 12, Kamperman et al discloses a method of copy protecting a digital audio compact disc (CD-DA) carrying audio data and control data [column 5, lines 38-57]. Kamperman et al discloses that the control data is encoded onto the compact disc [column 5, lines 38-57]. Kamperman et al discloses the copy protection method comprising rendering selected control data incorrect [column 6 line 49 to column 7 line 6]. Kamperman et al discloses that the selected control data being ignored by a conventional commercially available CD music player, such that the player is able to play the audio data [column 6 line 49 to column 7 line 6], whereas the incorrect data negatively effects the playability of the audio data in a data reader which is enabled to read data and process information from each sector of the compact disc, reads the incorrect, selected control data, the reading confuses the data reader which is thereby prevented from satisfactorily playing the CD-DA, wherein copying of the audio data is thereby prevented [column 7, lines 33-46].

As to claims 2 and 13, Kamperman et al discloses that the control data encoded on the compact disc that has been rendered incorrect is navigation data [column 6 line 49 to column 7 line 6].

As to claims 7 and 18, Kamperman et al discloses that the control data encoded on the compact disc defining the nature of the tracks is rendered incorrect [column 6 line 49 to column 7 line 6].

As to claims 8 and 19, Kamperman et al discloses a method of copy protecting a digital audio compact disc (CD-DA) carrying audio data and control data, as discussed above. Kamperman et al discloses that the control data is encoded onto the compact disc, as discussed above. Kamperman et al discloses the copy protection method comprising rendering selected control data incorrect, as discussed above. Kamperman et al discloses the selected control data being ignored by a conventional commercially available CD music player, such that the player is able to play the audio data, whereas the incorrect data negatively effects the playability of the audio data in a data reader which is enabled to read data and process information from each sector of the compact disc, reads the incorrect, selected control data, the reading confuses the data reader which is thereby prevented from satisfactorily playing the CD-DA, as discussed above. Kamperman et al discloses that the control data encoded on the compact disc defining the nature of the tracks is also rendered incorrect, wherein copying of the audio data is thereby prevented [column 7, lines 33-46].

As to claims 11 and 26, Kamperman et al discloses that the control data encoded on the compact disc is altered, to render it incorrect, prior to mastering of the disc [column 4, lines 37-44].

Art Unit: 2131

As to claims 31, 33, 35 and 37, Kamperman et al discloses that the incorrect control data negatively effects the playability of the audio data in a conventional data reader [column 6 line 49 to column 7 line 6].

As to claims 32, 34, 36 and 38, Kamperman et al discloses that the data reader cannot play any of the audio data carried on the compact disc [column 6 line 49 to column 7 line 6].

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

**8. Claims 3, 10, 14, 21, 25 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamperman et al U.S. Patent No. 6,580,682 B1 as applied to claims 1, 8, 12 and 19 above, and further in view of Maeda et al U.S. Patent No. 5,153,861.**

As to claims 3, 10, 14, 21, 25 and 30, Kamperman et al discloses that the data is rendered incorrect, as discussed above.

Kamperman et al does not teach that the data is provided in the lead-in and identifies the position on the disc of the lead-out.

Maeda's invention teaches a recording/reproducing device where additional information concerning reproducing procedures, etc. edited by the user is recorded in the lead-in region on a recording medium [column 2 line 40-49]. The TOC (table of contents) is formed in the lead-in

Art Unit: 2131

region [column 4 lines 10-14]. The TOC contents contains the start and end position of each track.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Kamperman et al so that lead-in region would contain the invalid symbols and would identify the position of the lead-out. The examiner asserts that the end of the last track is going identify the position of the lead-out.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Kamperman et al by the teaching of Maeda because it saves the user the conventional trouble of entering procedures for reproduction, etc. every time he/she places the recording medium in the recording/reproducing device, thereby resulting in an enhanced operability, column 3 lines 33-43.

*Allowable Subject Matter*

**9. Claims 4-6, 9, 15-17, 20, 22-24 and 27-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.**

As to claims 4 and 15, prior art does not disclose, teach or suggest that the control data in the Lead-In that indicates the Atime at the start of the Lead-Out is rendered incorrect.

As to claims 5 and 16, prior art does not disclose, teach or suggest that the control data in the Lead-in shows the Atime at the start of the Lead-Out to be zero.

As to claims 6 and 17, prior art does not disclose, teach or suggest that the control data in the Lead-In has a value for the Atime at the start of the Lead-Out that occurs during a first audio track on the compact disc.

As to claims 9, 20, 24 and 29, prior art does not disclose, teach or suggest that the data on the CD identifying the nature of the tracks incorrectly identifies each audio track as a data track.

As to claims 22 and 27, prior art does not disclose, teach or suggest that the control data encoded on the compact disc that has been rendered incorrect is timing data.

As to claims 23 and 28, prior art does not disclose, teach or suggest that the control data encoded on the compact disc that has been rendered incorrect is navigation and timing data.




Art Unit: 2131


***Conclusion***

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aravind K. Moorthy whose telephone number is 571-272-3793. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Aravind K Moorthy   
January 24, 2007

  
AYAZ SHEIKH  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100